EXHIBIT A

```
1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                          ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
 3
     UNITED STATES, et al., : Civil Action No.: 1:23-cv-108
 4
                  Plaintiffs, :
 5
          versus
                                : Friday, September 15, 2023: Alexandria, Virginia: Pages 1-80
 6
     GOOGLE LLC,
 7
                  Defendant.
 8
             The above-entitled motions hearing was heard before
 9
     the Honorable John F. Anderson, United States Magistrate
     Judge. This proceeding commenced at 10:50 a.m.
10
                         APPEARANCES:
11
     FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
                            GERARD MENE, ESQUIRE
12
                            OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
                            2100 Jamieson Avenue
13
                            Alexandria, Virginia 22314
                            (703) 299-3700
14
                            JULIA TARVER WOOD, ESQUIRE
15
                            KATHERINE CLEMONS, ESQUIRE
                            MICHAEL WOLIN, ESQUIRE
16
                            UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
                            ANTITRUST DIVISION
17
                            450 Fifth Street, NW
                            Washington, D.C. 20530
18
                            (202) 894-4266
19
                            TYLER HENRY, ESQUIRE
                            OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
20
                            OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL
                            202 North Ninth Street
2.1
                            Richmond, Virginia 23219
                            (804) 786-7704
2.2
2.3
2.4
25
```

1	APPEA	RANCES:
2	FOR THE DEFENDANT: CRAIG	REILLY, ESQUIRE
3		adison Street
4	Alexa	ndria, Virginia 22314 549-5354
5	JULIE	ELMER, ESQUIRE
6		E LEONARD, ESQUIRE W EWALT, ESQUIRE
7		FIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER, LLP 3th Street, NW
8		Floor ngton, D.C. 20005
9	(202)	777-4500
10	ANNEI	A GOODMAN, ESQUIRE ISE CORRIVEAU, ESQUIRE
11	WHART	WEISS, RIFKIND, ON & GARRISON LLP
12	Washi	K Street, NW ngton, D.C. 20006
13		223-7300
14	Offic	ANIE M. AUSTIN, RPR, CRR ial Court Reporter
15	401 (d States District Court ourthouse Square
16	(571)	ndria, Virginia 22314 298-1649
17		tinReporting@gmail.com
18	(PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING, TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION.)	
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		2

```
1
    part of what Your Honor ruled on last week, which is that --
 2
               THE COURT: Yes or no --
 3
               MS. CLEMONS: -- there was a very specific
 4
     request.
 5
               THE COURT: -- have you given them that raw data?
 6
               MS. CLEMONS: No, because it's work product.
 7
               THE COURT: Have you given your experts that raw
     data?
 8
 9
              MS. CLEMONS: No, because it's work product, Your
10
    Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Well --
12
               MS. CLEMONS: It was for the purposes of
13
     determining whether and how the claims should be brought on
14
    behalf of Navy.
15
               THE COURT: All right. I want that data delivered
16
     to my chambers before the end of the day today. I'll look
17
     at it and see whether that raw data should be produced;
18
     okay?
19
               MS. CLEMONS: Okay. To be clear, Your Honor, it
20
     is not raw data. It is -- my understanding --
21
               THE COURT: I'll see what it is --
               MS. CLEMONS: It's a request from counsel.
22
2.3
               THE COURT: -- and I'll make a determination.
2.4
               MS. CLEMONS: Okay.
25
               THE COURT: I thought I was clear in my ruling.
                                                               23
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

And, you know, I've got to tell you, the government's claim for damages is in jeopardy in this case given their lack of responsiveness in discovery. And, you know, I want you to understand the seriousness of the way that I'm concerned about your responses to these damages-related questions.

A defendant in any case is entitled to know what the plaintiff is asking for and how they need to supplement it at a later time, but at least needs to know, in some respects, as to how the damages are being calculated, what the elements are, what you intend to be going after, and it's not going to come in an expert report and they then have 30 days from when they get your expert report to get their expert, to get everything lined up, to know how to respond to that. It's not fair. And I want to make sure this case is tried on a fair basis, and hiding the ball as to how you're going to calculate the damages or what the damages are, you know, what the elements are going to be, you know, if the model isn't complete, the model isn't complete. But you need to explain to them that you're working on a model that's going to be doing X, Y and Z, and that when you get the information, this is going to be it, and you can supplement it at a later time. But the idea that you're going to wait until an expert report gets served to tell them what you're seeking in this case and how it's

```
1
     calculated and how it all came out isn't fair.
 2
               So I'm going to require you to provide
 3
     supplemental responses to Interrogatories 4, 9, 14, 17.
 4
     I'll look at this expert data. I assume what you have
 5
    provided to the government -- or provided to the defendant,
 6
     I'm going to say, if you have not provided them that
 7
     information as of the close of discovery, you cannot use it.
     Is that fair or not fair?
 8
 9
               MS. CLEMONS: Absolutely fair, Your Honor, and we
10
     don't intend to use it because it was an initial
11
     determination for very specific information requested by
12
     counsel to assess claims, and then we sought actual
13
     discovery.
14
               THE COURT: I'm talking about in broad range.
                                                               Ιf
15
     you haven't provided them with the documents upon which you
16
     rely to support your claim for damages in this case, you're
17
    not going to be able to use it.
18
               MS. CLEMONS: Yes, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Other than --
20
               MS. CLEMONS: We completely agree.
21
               THE COURT: -- information that you're getting
22
     from Google. I'll carve that out, obviously.
2.3
               But, I mean, it's only fair that in the fact
2.4
     discovery part of this case that you provide them with the
25
     information that you intend to rely upon in order to claim
```

```
I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
 2
     transcription of my stenographic notes.
 3
                                  Stephanie austin
 4
                               Stephanie M. Austin, RPR, CRR
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                               80
```